

National Missile Defense

21 July 2000 Preproposal Conference

QUESTIONS:

1. Reference Section 1.2.1, Drawings C0010 and C0011:

The drawings show a temporary building. Who is to provide this temporary building, and if not provided by contractor, what are the interfaces?

A. Will clarified by amendment.

2. Reference Section 00010, page 12, Schedule:

What does footnote 3 Refer to?

A. The FAR requires the agency in a cost reimbursement contract to state the value of the obligation at the time of award. The regulations permit a zero dollar obligation at the time of award, as we are doing here. This amount will increase incrementally as the agency provides notices to proceed.

3. Reference Section 000120, page 12, Long lead-time items:

Items 1, 2, 5 & 8 - Will the 90% design contain 100% specs for these items?

A. No.

Item 7, What do you expect to see in a Consent Package for a permit?

A. FAR 44.202-2 and FAR 44.201 contain the considerations the contracting officer will examine in reviewing the consent package. Moreover, FAR 52.244-2 contains the instructions on preparing the consent package. Note further that we are requiring this consent package even if the offeror has an approved purchasing system.

4. Reference Section 10.0, page 161, Computer Systems (General Questions):

What data/voice communications facilities are available on Shemya?

A. T1 lines are available. Coordination with 611th and AT&T ALASCOM is required.

Attachment No. 1

Is satellite the only means of data communications to the island?

A. Yes.

If so, will the contractor be allowed to use the Air Force Earth Stations to access satellite communications?

A. Yes.

If not, would a commercial company be allowed to construct an Earth Station on the island for use by the contractor?

What is the reliability of the existing satellite access?

A. Service is reliable, but limited. Only two each T1 lines are currently used on the Island. Other T1 lines must be activated for government and contractor use.

Is there an existing Video Teleconference System installed on the island? If so, what is the size of the dedicated channel for this connection (e.g., 128KB, 384KB, etc.)?

A. No.

Is there a facilities manager we can contact to get additional info related to the data communications on the island?

A. MSgt Dave Willequer is the POC for 611th concerning Eareckson AS support.

What is the estimated number of government computer users anticipated at both Shemya and Anchorage?

A. Approximates: ANC - 80, Shemya - 48

5. Reference pages 166 and 194. The Work Authorization Document (WAD) on page 166 and the Work Breakdown Chart (WBSC) on page 194 are in conflict with each other:

WBS 3.03.5.6 in the WAD is called "Project Management" and called "Facilities" the WBSC. Which is correct?

Military Housing is WBS 3.03.5.4 in the WAD and 3.03.5.5 as a subordinate to 3.03.5.3 in the WBSC. Which is correct?

Security Construction is WBS 3.03.5.3 in the WAD and 3.03.5.4 in the WBSC. Which is correct?

The WAD has two "Security Building" terms and the WBSC has "Building 598" for the Military Housing and "Building 600" for the Security Construction. Is this correct?

WBS 3.03.5.2.3 in the WAD is called "Fire Pump Buildings" and called "Fire Protection System" in the WBSC. Which is correct?

A. All the above will be corrected in amendment.

6. Reference page 197, Backup Sheets for Site/Facility Supplementary Contact Information:

According to Section 01501, paragraphs 1.8 and 1.10, the Corps is only requesting support for one person (meals and housing) and one vehicle. Is this correct for proposal budgeting purposes?

A. One lump sum refers to pricing for the whole requirement for items listed.

Should this form include WBS 3.03.5.8, Planning?

A. Yes, it will be added as a separate item.

7. Reference Qualification Package:

Since the same information has been requested for this proposal, can we obtain from the Corps a copy of the completed surveys sent by our clients to the Corps as part of the prequalification process?

A. No.

If not, can we obtain the names of any clients that did not respond to the survey as requested.

A. Yes.

8. Section 00800, page 164 and paragraph 24, attachment 4:

Both describe level 4 WBS as (Site, Facility, System, Subsystem). However, these levels are not clearly identifiable on attachment 4 & 5. Please clarify (e.g., is the power plant a facility or a system. Is roofing a subsystem?)

A. Power plant is a facility (building) and roofing is a system.

9. Section 00800, page 162, paragraph 19.6.2. This section states that Primavera shall be used as the "EVMS management tool". We assume this is for the scheduling component and not necessarily any imbedded cost integration aspects.

A. Primavera will be used for all aspects for EVMS.

10. Will contractors have an opportunity to rebut any negative information received by the evaluation team from "other sources"?

A. Yes.

11. Will the COE provide an alternate barge beach landing area improvements to allow heavy/oversized equipment to discharge at a beach and road surface to support this discharge?

A. Contractor is responsible for improving the beach landing area.

12. Reference Paragraph 1.2.1.2, 1.2.1.4 page 5:

Who designs the roads?

A. Contractor will design and maintain temporary roads in accordance with the contract.

Does MILCON fund this activity?

A. Funding will be provided.

What is the schedule for road construction?

A. Completion schedule will be to support contract BOD requirements.

13. Reference Paragraph 4.4, SOW page 11:

Please define the paragraph as it applies to a construction contract that is 100% designed. What is expected of the construction contractor that relates to this paragraph?

A. Contractor is expected to utilize CAIV (cost as an independent variable). The contractor is charged with ensuring the increased importance of providing cost visibility to design alternatives, advocating cost-driven solutions, and providing status toward meeting cost objectives.

14. Reference paragraph 3.2.2, Construction Requirements, page 20, "demonstrate methodology for determining the natural frequency of constructed antenna mount foundation, HEMP/TEMPEST protection will be accomplished and proven":

Please define what this statement means? This is a build to print construction project.

A. Tell us how you are going to demonstrate methodology for determining the natural frequency of constructed

antenna mount foundation and discuss how you will prove how you will ensure HEMP/TEMPEST protection is met.

15. Please define "continual interface definition and control". Please provide the Government organization that will be involved in this project both in Alaska, Huntsville, and the LSI. We cannot develop a plan if the other personnel (organizations) we will coordinate with on the project are known.

A. An interface chart will be provided when defined.

16. Reference paragraph 3.2, 3.3, 3.4, 3.6, Government organization, page 9:

Please provide the organizations that the construction contractor will be required to coordinate with during the construction. What will be their scope of work on the project? Please develop these paragraphs to reflect all on island Government personnel.

A. CEPOA - Construction contract site manager and Contracting Officer. All direction and guidance must be provided by the contracting officer's designated representative on island; other entities (BOS contractor, LSI and JPO representative) for noncontractual matters only.

17. Reference paragraph 3.2.1, page 20:

Please provide a complete list of permits required to satisfy environmental laws and regulations.

A. Contractor is responsible to identify and obtain all permits. A complete listing will be provided when available.

Will the project require a base engineer, state or federal approvals?

A. A list of approving agencies will be provided when available.

Please clarify site specific issues such as wetlands, docks, oil handling, etc.

A. All pertinent information is contained in the RFP.

Please provide detail regarding potential site contamination issues.

A. The only location identified to date is at the power plant, and fuel storage area, where the contractor will be

required to remove only that contaminated material within the foot print of the structures.

18. Reference paragraph 3.2.3, Quality Control, page 21:

Please provide Section 01451.

A. This is contained in Division 1 Specifications.

19. Reference Section 14.7, page 160:

The RFP states that accomplishments per the NAS will determine the contractor's progress payment. What is intended to be the basis for payment?

A. Amendment will reflect revisions to this paragraph.

20. Reference page 42 (DFAR 252.234 7000) states that the contractor must identify current capabilities and what must be done to make a system compliant. Does the Government intend to fund system upgrades to make a system compliant?

A. No, not as a direct charge.

Will the Government intend to fund the system upgrades that will allow a contractor to satisfy the 32-point criteria?

A. No, not as a direct charge. Any upgrades will probably be part of the G&A or overhead of your company.

21. LSI has asked for a facility, does that mean the decision has been made and that facility is not available for the contractor?

A. Offerors should propose based on the information currently provided in the RFP.

22. What are the LSI requirements for the roads?

A. Will be clarified by amendment.

23. Who is going to maintain the LSI vehicles?

A. Will be clarified by amendment.

24. What is available in building 611 for the contractor?

A. Will be clarified by amendment.

25. There is confusion on the Information Management and office requirements for building 611. Is the RFP clear on these issues?

A. Will be clarified by amendment.

26. Refigure the totals in building 598. How many rooms will the contractor get? Should the Government be worried about the substandard conditions for the contractor personnel (2 to a room) and the possible negative affect on contractor worker morale?

A. Addressed in RFP. May utilize up to two people.

27. Contractor Housing. Does the contractor still have the option of utilizing a full or partial construction camp?

A. Yes, this is addressed in the RFP. Can utilize full or partial construction camp.

28. Should the clinic be expanded to include a doctor and/or additional emergency personnel?

A. ISA will provide in accordance with OSHA requirements. Contractor will be responsible for all other services.

29. BOD Requirements. The discussions during the July 16, 2000 teleconference identified additional LSI support requirements. A detailed and complete list of support requirements is needed.

A. Additional LSI requirements will be identified by amendment if required.

Some clarifications: 1.2.1.4, What strength road or how many axles?

A. Amendment will reflect proper road requirements.

1.2.1.6, Will temporary power requirements be constant (24 hours/7 days) or cyclic?

A. Constant.

30. Funding. In the RFP, page 7, 1.3:

Will the annual funding levels be inclusive at termination costs (page 11, 4.2.1.2.1)? For instance, If termination costs for first year are \$10 million, will the project expenditures/commitments be limited to $54.6 - 10 = 44.6$?

A. Funding does not include termination costs.

31. Long Lead-time Items. In the RFP, page 12, are long lead items #1 and #8 the same;

A. Yes. Amendment will reflect the proper requirements.

are items #2 and #5 the same?

A. Yes. Amendment will reflect the proper requirements.

And Item #7, suggests that aggregate for "concrete" will be taken from Shemya quarry. This conflicts with information presently being discussed. Also note that there is presently conflicting info concerning this in the RFP. This is a major cost item, please clarify.

A. Aggregate for concrete will be from offsite. Aggregate for other construction, may be taken from a Shemya quarry.

32. Expected Workday, week. Does the ACE have an expectation or preference on the standard workday (start to finish, duration) or the project work week (6-days/week or 7-days/week)? Also does the ACE expect a full island workforce during November and December.

A. For both questions, as the contractor requires to meet the schedule.

33. The RFP needs to clarify the burning of construction wastes. What types of materials can be burned and who will perform and control the burn?

A. The contractor may obtain a burn permit, however, construction waste should be removed from Shemya if not covered by the burn permit.

34. Will the contractor be able to use BOS shops on limited basis (examples; bench testing, electrical and controls instruments; small bore pipe lab; wood template fabrication for anchor bolts, embeds, etc.)?

A. No.

35. Will the BOS provide "safe dig" permitting?

A. Yes, is being incorporated in the Interagency Support Agreement (ISA).

36. In the RFP, page 18, 3.1.1.1 is not clear. It seems to be referencing an AE contract rather than construction. The contractor needs a better description of the ACE and others; computer needs?, will LAN/WAN be required?, what types of peripherals?

A. Amendment will clarify computer requirements if necessary.

37. Contractor will require building 616 for vehicle maintenance, is that possible?

A. Yes. ISA is currently being worked.

38. Will water be furnished at Batch Plant area?

A. The plans show two locations for the concrete batch plant. There is water at both locations. There are other locations that are available as well but are not specifically shown.

39. Will BOS contractor be responsible for all medical or will construction contractor have to furnish a doctor and can share clinic area?

A. ISA will provide in accordance with OSHA requirements. Contractor will be responsible for all other services.

40. Schedule is not realistic to furnish LSI with pressurized air equipment and complete backup of 150KW generator by May 2002.

A. Correct date is May 2003 as shown in paragraph 1.1 of SOW, Section 00010.

41. Contractor needs by July 31, 2000, amount of living quarters.

A. As stated in RFP.

42. Contractor needs location of peat spoil disposal areas so haul distances can be established.

A. Peat spoil will be disposed on the Island. Locations are TBD. There are multiple areas that must be coordinated with the 611th.

43. Contractor will require Hangers 2 and 4 for day storage and possibly Hanger 3 for out-of-weather and covered. If not, could have QA effect or cause additional expense.

A. Hanger usage as stated in RFP.

44. Where exactly are the sources of construction water?

A. The plans show two locations for the concrete batch plant. There is water at both locations. There are other locations that are available as well but are not specifically shown.

How many gallons per minute will it be supplied at?

A. Capacity is unknown.

45. What permits must the contractor obtain, and which permits will the Government obtain? Who should the contractor contact at each agency for permits?

A. Contractor is responsible to identify and obtain all permits.

46. How much is the room rate for rooms in Building 600?
How much per day for meals for each person?

A. See Section 01501, paragraphs 1.8.1, Housing; and 1.8.2, Meals; and 1.8.3, Fees.

Does the contractor have to pay for the rooms it occupies in Building 598 and if so how much?

A. No, but the utilities and meals must be paid for. Bldg 598 will be metered (meter by the Contractor).

47. How many Government offices in Building 611 and 600 are required?

A. To be determined.

Does the contractor have to supply office furnishings and IT equipment?

A. Furnishings - yes; equipment - no.

48. What is the seating capacity of the busses that are to be provided?

A. 29 per bus.

49. Can we be provided with drawings of the dock?

A. Yes, drawings will be provided when available.

50. Room distribution in Building 598 has LSI contractors in single rooms, and contractors in doubles. To avoid problems with morale of workforces, can the Government require all hourly contractors, regardless of employee, to be in double rooms? And exempt employees to be in singles? If more space is needed, suggest putting lower graded LSI and contractor exempts in doubles on a consistent basis.

A. Addressed in RFP. May utilize up to two people.

51. Will electrical power be available to surplus buildings?

A. Yes, but contractor must identify buildings.

52. What is the maximum number of people that can be brought to the island for mobilization, clean-up/fix-up?

A. This will be the contractor's call but the number of people will have to be coordinated through the contracting officer.

53. Can Government be supplying furniture, housekeeping, and surplus for construction workforce?

A. No.

54. Will separate secure areas be required at each distinct location or will roving security suffice?

A. According to the contractor's approved site security plan.

55. What is the existing profile on road from dock area to control facility?

A. Will be provided by amendment.

56. What is the route required by LSI from Building 701 to control facility?

A. See contract drawings.

57. Contractor needs time and dates from LSI for use of facilities.

A. The transition plan will be provided when it becomes available.

58. Where is a water stand for dust control?

A. Currently there are no water stands on Shemya. The plans show two locations for the concrete batch plant. There is water at both locations. There are other locations that are available as well but are not specifically shown.

59. Can fire hydrant be used to supply water?

A. TBD. Available if the hydrants are operable.

60. Section 4.2 Earned-Value Management System, page 10. Section states contractor shall use this system's concepts (EVMS as per DRAR). Please clarify if the contractor's system needs to achieve validation in accordance with the DFAR.

A. The contractor needs to work toward validation until the Government feels the level of compliance is suitable for this contract.

61. Section 4.3 Conferences and Reviews, page 11. Section states there will be a compliance review within the first 9 months. When will the EVMS system descriptions and procedures be provided to the contractor? Please clarify that this review is for compliance and not validation.

A. The EVMS system description is on the internet at www.dcmc.hq.dla.mil/dcmc_o/oc/earnvalu/criteria.htm Refer to question 60 for clarification.

62. Section 3.1.1 Integrated Master Plan (IMP)/Integrated Master Schedule (IMS), page 18. Section addresses the requirements of an Integrated Master Schedule(IMS), and Integrated Master Plan(IMP), and a Network Analysis Schedule(NAS). The IMS relates to the EVMS requirements and the NAS to payment items. Are the IMS and the NAS the same schedule? Please address how the Corps' views the interrelationship of these three documents.

A. No, the IMS and the NAS are not the same schedule; however they are inter-related. The Corps views these three documents as inter-related and uses them in evaluating contractor performance of cost, quality, and schedule.

63. Section 30.0 Pass-Through Costs, page 165. This section appears to limit mark-ups on certain "pass through costs" for things like spares and consumables, etc. Please verify that the Contractor will be entitled to recover allowable overheads and or General & Administrative expenses in accordance with its disclosed cost accounting practices and that this provision limits only the application of profit on such expenditures.

A.RFP has been amended.

64. Section 52.236-7, Permits and Responsibilities, page 122. Please provide clarification the protection of existing Government buildings, vegetation, etc. at the construction site. As to FAR 57.237-2 Protection of Government Buildings, Equipment, and Vegetation (APR 1984), please confirm that this clause is not intended to override the basic cost reimbursement nature of the contract and the limited risk of loss for Government property except where the contract and the limited risk of loss for Government property except where the loss, damage or destruction is subject to Property, FAR 52.245-5(g).

A. FAR 52.236-7 is a mandatory clause for cost reimbursement construction contracts; therefore, the clause will be enforced according to its terms.

65. [Section 14.3 & 14.7 Contractor-prepared Network Analysis Systems, p158 & 160; 52.232-27 (a), p 112; 4.2.1.2.1, p10] The discussion of the "Network Analysis System" (NAS) makes a number of references to progress payments. However, the contract has no provisions for progress payments. Please clarify the contract to delete the reference to progress payments.

A. Amendment will correct.

66. Section 24.0 Work Breakdown Structure, page 164. We note that preparation of level 4 WBS cost estimates substantially increases the cost to the offerors of responding to the solicitation without materially increasing the accuracy of the estimates based on the current design information. Would the Corps revise the contract to provide for level 3 WBS estimates?

A. For the purpose of responding to the solicitation, the Corps will reduce the level of the WBS to 3, this reduction is for cost proposal purposes only.

67. Section 25.0 Incorporation of Proposal Into Contract, page 164. It does not appear that blanket incorporation of the offerors into the resultant contract is necessary and such action substantially increases the offerors efforts to maintain the confidentiality of materials that were submitted in its proposal. Specifically, recent changes to FOIA provided an exception to disclosure of proposal materials except for those portions of the successful offeror's proposal incorporated into the contract. Inasmuch as little of the successful offerors proposal is necessary to manage the on-going relationship after award (e.g., past performance information does not provide future rights in the Government under the contract), would the Corps delete this section? Alternatively, would the Corps only incorporate those portions of the offerors proposal that provide specific enforceable obligations (e.g., key personnel list, representations and certifications).

A. This requirement has been removed by amendment. No part of the proposal will be made part of the contract.

68. Section 31.0 Video Support, page 165. Has the Corps verified that sufficient bandwidth can be attained and made available to the Contractor to support videoconferencing and web cams from Sheyma?

A. With the correct interface equipment, the existing telephone service will provide sufficient bandwidth. Space on this service will be made available to the contractor for video conferencing and web cams.

69. Page 5-6, Summary of Work. The text jumps from section 1.2.2 to section 1.2.4. Is section 1.2.3 missing, or is this a typo?

A. This is a typo and will be corrected in an amendment.

70. Page 16, Table 1 identifies the Small Business subcontracting Plan as Volume III, yet on page 24, the Cost/Price Volume is also identified as Volume III. Please clarify.

A. Page 16 is correct, Small Business Subcontracting Plan is Volume III. Page 24 will be corrected by amendment; Cost/Price is Volume II.

71. Page 19, paragraph 3.1.1.3. Does USACE allow any reformatting of resume, experience and past performance forms, provided all the requested information is furnished?

A. No.

For example, can font and page layout be adjusted to conform to the balance of the proposal?

A. Yes.

Is the 1-1/2 line spacing required on these forms?

A. No.

72. Page 19, paragraph 3.1.1.6. This section ask for all activities to WBS level 4 (with a WBS dictionary)...Is the intent for the offeror to in fact provide a complete WBS dictionary for the project? If so, may this be included as an attachment and exempt from the page count?

A. This will be changed during an amendment to require WBS 3 for cost proposal purposes only. The dictionary may be abbreviated but will not be exempt from page count.

73. Page 20, paragraph 3.2.1. This section requires a discussion of considerations on obtaining environmental permits. Since these laws vary by agency and locations, can a list be provided of required permits.

A. Normal Corps procedures are for contractor to identify and obtain all environmental permits on this type of contract.

74. Page 32, Subsection 1.0. Please provide contact names, addresses, telephone and fax numbers for the LSI reviewers of contractor proposals (Boeing, Raytheon, Teledyne Brown, Quality Research). These individuals will have to execute the non-disclosure agreement for their firms. Please indicate the proposal volume in which the executed agreements are to be submitted and confirm that they are excluded from the page count

A. This information will be provided by an amendment.

75. Attachments 1, 4, and 5 of Section 00800. The WBS numbering system do not align on all three attachments. We have assumed that attachment 5 is correct numbering sequence for the WBS? Please verify.

A. Attachment 4 has the correct numbering sequence and attachments 1 and 5 will be corrected by amendment.

76. In Volume One, Drawing C-0030 is listed on the index file as "Radar and Control Area - Utility Panel A". The drawing that comes up when that file is opened, however, is Drawing C-0029, "Utility Control Table," which is identical drawing that is contained in the file for Drawing C-0029. Please clarify or provide with the 90% drawings.

A. All necessary changes will be corrected.

77. Section 00100, Part A, instructions, Conditions and Notices to Offerors, page 17, para 2.4.4.1 establishes the minimum font size of 10 point for 8-1/2 inches text pages. Paragraph 2.4.4.3 also requires a minimum font size of 10 point for foldout pages of tables, charts, graphs, and figures. No minimum font size is established for graphics or figures imbedded on 8-1/2 by 1 inch pages along with text. Our standard font size for graphics on text pages is 8 point. Will this be acceptable?

A. All text, including graphics, will be 10 point.

78. Section 00800 Special Contract Requirements, page 159, paragraph 14.5.b. This paragraph indicates that schedule activities progress calculations must be based on remaining duration. This appears to be in conflict with the DOD EVMS Implementation Guide, paragraph 302.b, which states "A key feature of the scheduling system is that it enables and maintains the relationship between technical achievement and progress status." Please confirm the need for calculating the remaining duration, which requires separate calculations for schedule status and earned value.

A. Correct, please show separate calculations for schedule status and earned value.

79. Can the Government delay submittal of the full proposal until at least 45 days after 90% Design Review in order to allow adequate time for all information to be incorporated into the entire proposal?

A. Not at this time.

80. Can the Government remove requirements for offerors to provide experience and past performance information? This

will allow offerors to put all their efforts into management, technical, and cost planning. We note that experience and past performance were already evaluated and that offerors were asked during the pre-qualifications to propose teams that would be substantially the same as those for the final RFP.

A. Not at this time.

81. There are four listed firms in the RFP that may have access to our proposals and this, proprietary information. The contractor is responsible for obtaining proprietary data agreements with these firms prior to submittal. Firms have strict guidelines on handling of their proprietary information and there is no guarantee a firm's proprietary information agreement will be accepted by each of the listed firms. How will this be resolved?

A. At this time, we do not foresee any potential problems regarding this issue. If problems do occur, we will respond on a case-by-case basis. If there is any perceived problem, the offeror shall provide the information to the attention of the contracting officer as soon as possible.

82. Section 3.3.2, Safety. Submit OSHA Form 200 for the last five years prior to 1 May 2000. This form is project specific; therefore, submitting it for five years for the 10 projects featured in the Experience Section would consume 50 pages of the 150-page limit. This form should be excluded from the page count. However, we suggest a summary be required instead of all the forms. We are sending hard copy examples of two summary reports that have been used in a Government proposal.

A. OSHA, Form 200 should be included as an attachment; therefore, it will not be included in the 150-page limit.

83. Section 3.3 Experience and Section 3.4 Past Performance. Critical to accomplishing the schedule of construction for the X-Band radar is the pre-selection of key subcontractors. We have carefully selected several subcontractors to exclusively provide services required to successfully completing the project on time. Due to the absolute necessity of this arrangement, we request that our subcontractors submit one project each in response to the referenced sections. We would allocate no more than three of the ten projects to the subcontractors. Since you have already pre-qualified us as a prime, ten projects from us would be redundant. We believe that you could better evaluate our proposal, technical approach to the

construction cost realism and risk reduction if these companies give evidence of their experience on similar projects. Please approve this request allowing subcontractors to submit past experience and performance information.

A. Past performance information for prime contractors will still be required. We will not accept information on subcontractors at this time.

84. Factor 1-Management, 3.1.1 to 3.1.1.6 IMP/IMS. In addition to the IMP and IMS, the RFP requires a level 4 WBS with a WBS dictionary. Due to the anticipated length of these items, getting to level 4 detail, we request that an alternative approach will provide the material requested without using so many pages. Alternate one, will be to put the detailed WBS and dictionary in the cost volume and use a summary WBS in the tech/management volume. Number two will be to take out the WBS and dictionary from the page count. Number three is to take out the imp/IMS, WBS and dictionary from the page count. If the WBS and dictionary are moved to the cost volume, allow the use of referencing information between the two volumes. The fifth option is to put the IMP/IMS, WBS, and dictionary into an appendix, which allows for an easier review. Please review these suggestions and provide guidance.

A. Please include IMP/IMS, WBS and dictionary in an appendix (attachment) and it will be excluded from the 150-page count restriction.

85. Is there either a Mechanical Equipment List or an Electrical Equipment List currently available?

A. No.

If not, will such lists be available?

A. No.

When?

A. N/A.

86. Due to BOD considerations and funding limitations, we need to know whether the 598/600 pedestrian bridge will be part of Building 598 construction schedule or the Building 600 construction schedule.

A. It is part of the Building 598 construction schedule.

87. Section 00010, Item 3, Consent To subcontract For Long Lead-time Items. Will the Corps of Engineers be required

to review and approve the contractor's subcontract and purchase order documents before award? If so, what should we plan for a turnaround time?

A. Yes, depending on contractor's purchasing system approval. We will provide the consent to subcontract at time of award. If we have any problems with the selected offeror's packages, we will be discussing those issues at the appropriate time.

88. Reference the Summary of Work document, article 1.1, page 4. Does the "Baseline Schedule" for water facilities include the firewater pump houses and water storage tanks at the radar site and at the power plant site?

A. Yes.

Is the "Baseline Schedule" for water facilities intended to include underground water distribution?

A. Yes.

- - - -

NOTES:

1. It was indicated at the preproposal conference that the referenced questionnaires were required by the closing date, but the RFP makes this a "should" versus a "shall."

2. For clarification, both Volume I (Technical and Management) and Volume III (Small Business Approach) are due 28 August 2000.

3. It was indicated at the preproposal conference that subcontractors are required to register in the CCR. The standard CCR clause, DFARS 252.204-7004, refers only to the proposed prime contractor, not the subcontractor.

4. Weights for evaluation factors are as stated in Section 00100, Part B of the RFP.

5. Amendment 0002 may be issued to resolve any inconsistencies between questions and answers provided and the RFP.